

6 ACTION PLAN

6.1 Introduction

The Action Plan identifies where works are anticipated and where further investigations are necessary to resolve outstanding uncertainties, and aims to provide information on operational activities and monitoring requirements at a broad SMP scale. It summarises the actions that are likely to be required for the implementation of final SMP recommendations, consequences of the plan and ongoing shoreline management before the next review of the SMP in approx. 10 years time.

The focus of the Action Plan, however, is not limited within the SMP review programme as it may identify issues relating to medium or long-term planning which may take a considerable length of time to conclude (e.g. legislative matters or long-term monitoring). Implementing the SMP policies and the actions in the Action Plan will depend on availability of funding, from the national flood and coastal erosion risk management budget, or from other national sources or from local and/or third-party funding.

The Action Plan is a key element for the North Solent SMP because for a number of Policy Units the confirmation and delivery of the final policy recommendations in the medium and long term epochs will be determined through subsequent detailed studies, monitoring and research, necessary to resolve uncertainties. This will improve our understanding and inform the emerging Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Studies and future reviews of SMP policy decisions.

The Action Plan is to be considered a 'live' document and will be updated, monitored and reviewed through regular meetings of the Southern Coastal Group (see http://www.southerncoastalgroup.org.uk). This approach will continue the partnership working and will enable stakeholders and Elected Members to be informed of progress.

Action Ref (not in ranke d order)	Action	Reason	Scheduling & Dependencie s	Importanc e	Lead Authority	Key Partners	Targe t Start date	MTP entry (yes/no/no t applicable)	If no MTP entry then alternativ e funding source
4	Completion of Itchen to Hamble Coastal Defence Strategy following public consultation. Determine policy and management approach for frontage	Currently out to public consultation. Completion of CDS. Development of subsequent studies and schemes	Urgent. Informing coastal management planning, schemes and decisions	High	Southampto n City Council	Eastleigh Borough Council, Fareham Borough Council, Environment Agency, Hampshire County Council	Dec- 05	Yes	n/a
5	Completion of Portchester to Emsworth Coastal Defence Strategy. Determine	Completion of CDS. Specifically with regard to Farlington Marshes, determine the best way forward for delivering the	Urgent. Informing coastal management planning, schemes and decisions	High	Environment Agency	Havant, Portsmouth & Gosport Coastal Partnership, Hampshire Wildlife Trust,	Jun- 05	Yes	n/a

	policy and	assessment of the				Natural			
	management	strategic				England			
	approach for	importance of the							
	frontage.	freshwater habitat							
		to the Solent							
		Estuary; the							
		recreational value							
		of the site to							
		Portsmouth City,							
		local and national							
		visitors; and flood							
		storage issues. It							
		is expected that							
		specific Schemes							
		will be identified							
		such as Farlington							
		Flood Control,							
		Portchester to							
		Paulsgrove							
		Defences							
8	Developmen	Consider the	To be informed	High	Environment	Havant,	Dec-	Yes	n/a
	t of a flood	scope and timing	by studies		Agency	Portsmouth	10		
	and coastal	of undertaking	listed as			& Gosport			
	erosion risk	such a study.	urgent actions			Coastal			
	management	Comply with	and other			Partnership,			
	strategy	FCERMS	studies e.g.			Chichester			
	study for	guidance but	that investigate			Harbour			
	Hayling	include such	funding			Conservanc			
	Island.	items as more	sources,			y Hampshire			
		detailed	identify and			County			
		economic,	assess flood			Council,			

	environment and	and erosion		Natural		
	social-economic	risk		England,		
	assessments;	implications		Landowners		
	dentify and	and clarify				
	consider the tidal,	coastal				
	fluvial, storm	planning				
	water and	issues				
	groundwater	associated				
	flooding issues,	with failure of				
	continue to build	non-				
a	and improve	maintenance				
l r	relationships with	of defences				
la la	andowners;					
ir	ntegrate					
	provision/reroutin					
	g of coastal					
	access in defence					
n	management;					
	consideration					
	ootential					
	opportunities for					
	Regulated Tidal					
	exchange and					
	sluice gate					
	modifications at					
	Stoke and West					
	Northney; include					
	ebb tidal delta					
	morphology study					
	to improve					
	understanding of					
	the implications of					
L L	ine implications of					

		ı		
loss of the				
nearshore banks				
on Hayling Island				
shoreline; identify				
sources of				
funding; clarify				
coastal planning				
issues; address				
the potential risks				
associated with				
withdrawal of				
maintenance or				
failure of privately				
owned defences				
and link with the				
RHCP				
accordingly; and				
take account of				
the outcomes of				
the other Solent-				
wide site-specific				
studies that have				
been identified in				
the Action Plan.				
Apply the Govt's sea level rise				
allowances and				
guidance arising				
from UKCP09 or				
subsequent				
studies. It is				
expected that				

		T .				1			
		specific Schemes will be identified such as Eastoke Beach Management, Eastoke Point. Improvements and maintenance of all existing flood defences would cost an estimated £380M over the next 100 years, however, a significant proportion of the defences are privately owned within the FCERMS study area.							
9	Developmen t of a flood and coastal erosion risk management strategy study for the frontages between Portchester Castle and	Comply with FCERMS guidance but include such items as more detailed economic, environment and social-economic assessments; identify and	To be informed by studies listed as urgent actions and other studies e.g. funding sources, identify location for creation of	High	Havant, Portsmouth & Gosport Coastal Partnership	Environment Agency, Fareham Borough Council, Hampshire County Council, Natural England, Landowners	Apr-11	Yes	n/a

Portsmouth Harbour entrance (west) and on to River Hamble entrance (east).	consider the tidal and fluvial risks, storm water and groundwater flooding issues and wave overtopping; integrate provision/reroutin g of coastal access in defence management; identify sources of funding; clarify coastal planning issues; address the potential risks associated with withdrawal of maintenance or failure of privately owned defences and link with the RHCP accordingly; investigate potential options for future position and routing of coastal highways and integrate provision/reroutin	saline lagoon within the Solent Maritime SAC to mitigate loss at Hook Lake, identify and assess flood and erosion risk implications and clarify coastal planning issues associated with failure or non- maintenance of defences						
--	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

g of coastal		
access in defence		
management;		
determine		
opportunities for		
regulated tidal		
exchange and		
environmental		
enhancement at		
Titchfield Haven		
and Meon Valley;		
and take account		
of the outcomes		
of the other		
Solent-wide site-		
specific studies		
that have been		
identified in the		
Action Plan. To be		
developed with		
consultation with		
land and defence		
owners and the		
commercial and		
recreational		
communities.		
Apply the Govt's		
sea level rise		
allowances and		
guidance arising		
from UKCP09 or		
subsequent		

studies. It is expected that specific Schemes will be identified. Improvements and maintenance of all existing flood defences would cost an estimated £380M over the next 100 years, however, a significant proportion of the defences are owned/maintained by the MOD within the FCERMS			
study area.			