



## SHLAA Proforma

### Site location

Site name	Beauchamp Avenue Amenity Area	Site reference	BS014
Site address and post code	Beauchamp Avenue, Gosport, PO13 0LH	Ward	Bridgemary South
Last updated	March 2019		

### Site details

Site description	Amenity area between houses and road
Topography	Flat with grass, trees and concrete bollards
Existing land use	Open space
Surrounding land use and storey heights	Residential (two storey) and commercial/ residential (three storey)
Site size	0.41 ha
Development status	None



If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

## Key

	Unconstrained
	Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
	Site is not suitable for allocation

## Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
<b>Local plan designations</b>			
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	<b>Yes</b>		
Is the site allocated for development?	<b>No</b>	Site may still be appropriate for development.	
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	<b>No</b>		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	<b>Yes</b>	Site assessed as low value in the Open Space Monitoring Report. Low value open space may be appropriate for development but the requirements of Policy LP35 must be met.	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		
<b>Flooding</b>			
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	No		
<b>Ecology</b>			
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	No		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
biodiversity-offset site?			
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	No		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINIC, candidate SINIC or a Local Green Space?	No		
<b>Access</b>			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided?	Yes		
<b>Heritage</b>			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
locally listed buildings?			
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		
<b>Contamination</b>			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	Yes	Site is in 20 m buffer area. A Contaminated Land Assessment and potential mitigation will be required.	
<b>Amenity</b>			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Potential infringement on existing properties views and amenity space. Proposal will need to minimise amenity impacts.	
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	No		
<b>Services</b>			
Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	Yes	Site is in safeguarded area for Fleetlands helipad and aerodrome at Daedalus.	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
		Restrictions on development may apply. Under Policy LP15 statutory bodies will be consulted.	

### Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	No	Site is owned by GBC.	
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	Unknown		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	No		
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	Unknown		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	Yes	Access required to existing properties. May affect site viability.	
Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site?	Yes	Amenity area. Confirmation will be needed if they can be ended or relocated.	

## Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	<b>Unknown</b>		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)?	<b>Yes</b>	Potential decontamination costs. Funding or planning gain may be needed to make the site viable.	
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	<b>No</b>		



## Conclusion

<b>Suitable</b>	<b>Available</b>	<b>Achievable</b>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.41 ha	Based on whole site.
Local area density	High	
Development density	7dph	Density of developable area.
Capacity for dwellings	3	Based on initial visual assessment.

Concluding comments	Although the site has been assessed as low value open space, its contribution to the streetscene is important. Development in front of the terraced row is considered not viable given the limited space available and the impact on the streetscene and amenity. There is some potential for a limited number of dwellings at the eastern end of the terrace but the site falls below the SHLAA threshold.
Concluding actions	<b>No further action.</b>