




SHLAA Proforma

Site location

| | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Site name | West of The Drive Amenity Areas | Site reference | PC013 |
| Site address and post code | The Drive, Gosport, PO13 0RJ | Ward | Peel Common |
| Last updated | May 2019 | | |

Site details

| | |
|---|---|
| Site description | Amenity spaces between housing |
| Topography | Flat with grass, vegetation, trees and lampposts. |
| Existing land use | Open space |
| Surrounding land use and storey heights | Residential (two storey) |
| Site size | Approx. 0.4 ha across three amenity spaces |
| Development status | None |



If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

Key

| | |
|--|--|
| | Unconstrained |
| | Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements |
| | Site is not suitable for allocation |

Suitability

| Issue | Suitability | Comments | Actions |
|---|-------------|---|---------|
| Local plan designations | | | |
| Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary? | Yes | | |
| Is the site allocated for development? | No | Site may still be appropriate for development. | |
| Is the site in the Strategic Gap? | No | Site is adjacent to the strategic gap though. | |
| Is the site Protected Open Space? | Yes | Sites assessed as medium value in the Open Space Monitoring Report. | |
| Is the site a Protected Employment Site? | No | | |
| Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use? | No | | |

| Issue | Suitability | Comments | Actions |
|--|-------------|--|---------|
| Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area? | No | | |
| Is the site currently tourist accommodation? | No | | |
| Flooding | | | |
| Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3? | No | | |
| Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115? | No | | |
| Ecology | | | |
| Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)? | No | | |
| Does the site have any TPO trees? | No | | |
| Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)? | No | | |
| Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site? | Yes | Site within 400m of Solent and Southampton Water Brent Goose Site (Low Use). An ecology survey may be required. Development will not be permitted unless no adverse impact upon the habitat can be demonstrated. | |

| Issue | Suitability | Comments | Actions |
|---|-------------|---|---------|
| Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI? | No | | |
| Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space? | Yes | Site within 400m of Alverwood SINC. Proposals should protect the habitat. | |
| Access | | | |
| Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved? | Yes | | |
| Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved? | Yes | | |
| Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided? | Yes | | |
| Heritage | | | |
| Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area? | No | | |
| Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)? | No | | |
| Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings? | No | | |

| Issue | Suitability | Comments | Actions |
|---|-------------|--|---------|
| Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest? | No | | |
| Contamination | | | |
| Is it likely the site could be contaminated? | No | | |
| Amenity | | | |
| Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity? | Yes | Proposal will need to minimise amenity impacts. | |
| Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)? | No | | |
| Services | | | |
| Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities? | Yes | | |
| Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)? | Yes | Site is in safeguarded area for Fleetlands helipad. Restrictions on development may apply. Under Policy LP15 statutory bodies will be consulted. | |

Availability

| Issue | Availability | Comments | Actions |
|---|--------------|---|---------|
| Does the site have multiple owners? | Unknown | | |
| Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell? | Unknown | | |
| Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site? | No | | |
| Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)? | Unknown | | |
| Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)? | Unknown | Access required to existing properties. | |
| Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site? | No | | |

Achievable

| Issue | Achievability | Comments | Actions |
|--|----------------|----------|---------|
| Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period? | Unknown | | |
| Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)? | No | | |
| Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development? | No | | |

Conclusion

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Suitable | Available | Achievable |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|

| Issue | Figure | Assumptions |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|
| Developable area | 0.4 ha | Based on three amenity spaces. |
| Local area density | Medium | 40dph |
| Development density | - | - |
| Capacity for dwellings | 0 | - |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Concluding comments | These sites are considered to be important areas of open space, scoring a medium value in the recent Open Space Monitoring Report. The sites have very limited space for development, and any potential development would likely have a considerable impact on the amenity of existing dwellings which front onto the open space. In addition, the open space is used to gain access to existing dwellings. These constraints combined mean the site has been assessed as unable to accommodate 5 or more dwellings. As a result the site is considered unsuitable for inclusion in the SHLAA. |
| Concluding actions | No further action. |