




SHLAA Proforma

Site location

Site name	Jamaica Place Garage Site	Site reference	TN013
Site address and post code	Jamaica Place, Gosport, PO12 1LX	Ward	Town Ward
Last updated	May 2019		

Site details

Site description	Garage site Falls in TNCC020A site boundary.
Topography	Flat with concrete hardstanding
Existing land use	Garages and residents parking
Surrounding land use and storey heights	Residential (two storey)
Site size	Approx. 0.05 ha
Development status	None



If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

Key

	Unconstrained
	Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
	Site is not suitable for allocation

Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Local plan designations			
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	Yes		
Is the site allocated for development?	No	Site may still be appropriate for development.	
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	No		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	No		
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		
Flooding			
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	Yes	Site in Flood Zone 2 by 2115. Strategic Flood Risk Assessment is required.	
Ecology			
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	No		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site?	Yes	Within 400m of Brent Goose Site (Primary Network). An ecology survey may be required. Development will not be permitted unless no	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
		adverse impact upon the habitat can be demonstrated.	
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	No		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?	Yes	Site approximately 130m away from St George's Barracks Playing Field SINC. Proposals should protect the habitat.	
Access			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided?	Yes		
Heritage			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	Yes	Adjacent to the Stoke Road Conservation Area. A Heritage Statement will be required. Proposals will need to preserve or enhance the character of the conservation area.	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?	No		
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		
Contamination			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	Yes	Within 20m buffer area. A Contaminated Land Assessment and potential mitigation will be required.	
Amenity			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Small site with adjacent two storey flats situated close to the boundary. Proposal will need to minimise amenity impacts.	
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Services			
Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	No		

Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	No	Owned by GBC.	
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	Unknown		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	Yes	Due to small size of site it could be necessary to use off-site land. Need to check whether off-site land is available.	
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	Unknown		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	No		
Are there any existing tenancies or operations	Yes	Site still being used for	

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
on site?		garages and parking. Confirmation will be needed if they can be ended or relocated.	

Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	Unknown		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)?	Yes	Demolition of existing garages and decontamination. Funding or planning gain may be needed to make the site viable.	
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	No		

Conclusion

Suitable	Available	Achievable
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.05	Whole site
Local area density	Medium	
Development density	40dph	
Capacity for dwellings	2	Based on initial visual assessment

Concluding comments	While the site would be suitable for development, it is too small to accommodate five or more dwellings and would be more effectively redeveloped as part of a more comprehensive scheme.
Concluding actions	No further action.