


SHLAA Proforma

Site location

Site name	Sapphire Close LAP	Site reference	HD012
Site address and post code	Sapphire Close, Gosport, PO12 4AQ	Ward	Hardway
Last updated	April 2019		

Site details

Site description	Amenity space
Topography	Flat with grass, bushes and boundary fencing
Existing land use	Open space
Surrounding land use and storey heights	Residential (two storey)
Site size	0.03 ha
Development status	None



If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

Key

	Unconstrained
	Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
	Site is not suitable for allocation

Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Local plan designations			
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	Yes		
Is the site allocated for development?	No	Site may still be appropriate for development.	
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	No		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	Yes	Site assessed as medium value in the Open Space Monitoring Report.	
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		
Flooding			
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	No		
Ecology			
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	No		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site?	Yes	<p>Site within 250m of Portsmouth Harbour Brent Goose site (Primary Network).</p> <p>Within 210 m of SPA and Ramsar site. An ecology</p>	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
		survey may be required. Development will not be permitted unless no adverse impact upon the habitat can be demonstrated.	
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	Yes	Site within 210 m of Portsmouth Harbour SSSI. An ecology survey may be required. Development will not be permitted unless no adverse impact upon the habitat can be demonstrated.	
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?	Yes	Site is 110 m from Priddy's Hard SINC. Proposals should protect the habitat.	
Access			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided?	Yes		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Heritage			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?	No		
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		
Contamination			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	Yes	Site in 20 m buffer area. a Contaminated Land Assessment and potential mitigation will be required.	
Amenity			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Site offers an important gap between existing housing. Proposal will need to minimise amenity impacts.	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	No		
Services			
Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	Yes	Site in safeguarded area for the storage of defence munitions and for aerodrome at Daedalus. Restrictions on development may apply. Under Policy LP15 statutory bodies will be consulted.	

Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	No		
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	No		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	Yes	Potentially due to limited site size. Need to check whether off-site land is available.	
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	Unknown		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	Unknown		
Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site?	No		

Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	No		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)?	Yes	Potential decontamination costs. Funding or planning gain may be needed to make the site viable.	
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	No		

Conclusion

Suitable	Available	Achievable
----------	-----------	------------

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.04 ha	Based on entire open area and not just fenced area.
Local area density	High	50 dph
Development density	-	-
Capacity for dwellings	0	-

Concluding comments	The site has been assessed as medium value and is considered an important part of this area. It is considered appropriate to retain the site for the amenity of local residents and visual appeal of the built environment in this area. The site is not only too small to accommodate five dwellings but it also considered unsuitable for development and has therefore been discounted from the SHLAA.
Concluding actions	No further action.