

SHLAA Proforma

Site location


Site name	Roebuck Drive	Site reference	HD026
Site address and post code	Roebuck Drive, Gosport, PO12 4GX	Ward	Hardway
Last updated	May 2019		

Site details

Site description	Open space in front of housing enclosed by fence
Topography	Flat with grass and limited vegetation
Existing land use	Open space
Surrounding land use and storey heights	Residential (two storey)
Site size	0.08 ha
Development status	None



If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale



Key

	Unconstrained
	Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
	Site is not suitable for allocation

Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Local plan designations			
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	Yes		
Is the site allocated for development?	No	Site may still be appropriate for development.	
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	No		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	Yes	Site assessed as medium value in the Open Space Monitoring Report.	
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		
Flooding			
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	No		
Ecology			
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	No		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site?	Yes	Site within 400m of Portsmouth Harbour SSSI, SPA and Ramsar. An ecology survey may be required. Development will not be permitted unless no adverse impact upon the habitat can be demonstrated.	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	Yes	Site within 400m of Portsmouth Harbour SSSI. An ecology survey may be required. Development will not be permitted unless no adverse impact upon the habitat can be demonstrated.	
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?	Yes	Site within 400m of Priddy's Hard SINC.	
Access			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided?	Yes		
Heritage			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?	No		
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		
Contamination			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	Yes	Site is in 20m buffer area. A Contaminated Land Assessment and potential mitigation will be required.	
Amenity			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Potential impact on neighbouring properties due to close proximity. Proposal would need to minimise amenity impacts.	
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Services			
Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	Yes	Site is in safeguarded area for Fleetlands helipad and aerodrome at Daedalus. Statutory bodies will be consulted. Restrictions may apply.	

Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	No		
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	No		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	No		
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	No		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	No		
Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site?	Yes	Open space. Confirmation will be needed that they can be ended/relocated.	

Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	No		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)?	No		
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	No		

Conclusion

Suitable	Available	Achievable
----------	-----------	------------

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.08 ha	Based on whole site.
Local area density	Medium	40/50dph
Development density	50dph	Based on developable area.
Capacity for dwellings	4	Based on initial visual assessment.

Concluding comments	The site forms and important part of the overall streetscene and should be retained and improved as open space. Development on the site would be in close proximity to existing dwellings and detract from the appearance of the area.
Concluding actions	No further action.